

AVF Control Number: EDS19980304RSC04-2.1  
DATE COMPLETED  
BEFORE ON-SITE: 01 MAY 98  
AFTER ON-SITE: 29 MAY 98

Ada COMPILER  
VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT:  
Certificate Number: 980511e2.1-020  
Rational Software Corporation  
Rational Apex Ada, Digital Alpha AXP/UNIX, version 3.0.0 (pre-release)  
Digital AlphaStation 500/500 under Digital UNIX 4.0D

(Final)

Prepared By:  
Ada Validation Facility  
Electronic Data Systems  
1230 E. Dayton Yellow Springs  
Fairborn OH 45324  
U.S.A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

Validation Certificate

Declaration of Conformance

|            |   |      |
|------------|---|------|
| CHAPTER 1  | INTRODUCTION  |      |
| 1.1        | USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT . . . . .                 | 1-1  |
| 1.2        | ACVC TEST CLASSES . . . . .                                     | 1-1  |
| 1.3        | LEGACY TESTS. . . . .   | 1-2  |
| 1.4        | DEFINITION OF TERMS . . . . .                                   | 1-3  |
| CHAPTER 2  | IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES                                     |      |
| 2.1        | INAPPLICABLE TESTS. . . . .                                     | 2-1  |
| 2.2        | MODIFICATIONS . . . . .   | 2-3  |
| 2.3        | UNSUPPORTED FEATURES OF THE ADA 95 SPECIALIZED<br>NEEDS ANNEXES | 2-10 |
| CHAPTER 3  | PROCESSING INFORMATION  |      |
| 3.1        | VALIDATION PROCESS. . . . .                                     | 3-1  |
| 3.2        | MACRO PARAMETERS AND IMPLEMENTATION-SPECIFIC VALUES             | 3-2  |
| 3.2.1      | Macro Parameters. . . . .                                       | 3-3  |
| 3.2.1.1    | Package ImpDef. . . . .   | 3-5  |
| 3.2.1.2    | Package ImpDef.Annex_C. . . . .                                 | 3-11 |
| 3.2.1.3    | Package ImpDef.Annex_D. . . . .                                 | 3-14 |
| 3.2.1.4    | Package ImpDef.Annex_G. . . . .                                 | 3-16 |
| 3.3        | WITHDRAWN TESTS . . . . .                                       | 3-18 |
| APPENDIX A | COMPILATION SYSTEM OPTIONS AND LINKER OPTIONS                   |      |
| APPENDIX B | POINTS OF CONTACT   |      |
| APPENDIX C | REFERENCES  |      |

PREFACE

This report documents the validation testing of an Ada 95 implementation. This testing was conducted according to the Ada Compiler Validation Procedures version 5.0 using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability test suite version 2.1, and completed 11 May 1998.

The successful completion of validation testing is the basis for the Ada certification body's issuance of a validation certificate and for subsequent registration of derived implementations. A copy of the validation certificate 980511e2.1-020 and its attachment which were awarded for this validation are presented on the following two pages. Validation testing does not ensure that an implementation has no nonconformities to the Ada 95 standard other than those, if any, documented in this report. The compiler vendor declares that the tested implementation contains no deliberate deviation from the Ada 95 standard; a copy of this Declaration of Conformance is presented immediately after the certificate pages.

This report has been reviewed and approved by the signatories below. These organizations attest that, to the best of their knowledge, this report is accurate and complete; however, they make no warrant, express or implied, that omissions or errors have not occurred.

---

Phil Brashear  
Manager, Ada Validation Facility  
EDS Conformance Testing Center  
4646 Needmore Road, Bin #46  
P.O. Box 24593  
Dayton, OH 45424-0593  
U.S.A.

---

Ada Validation Organization  
Director, Computer and Software  
Engineering Division  
Institute for Defense Analyses  
Alexandria VA 22311  
U.S.A.

---

Ada Joint Program Office  
Director  
Center for Information Management  
Defense Information Systems Agency  
Alexandria VA 22041  
U.S.A.

(Insert copy of certificate here)

Results Summary for

Core Language

| ACVC RESULTS | Passed | Inappli-<br>cable | Unsup-<br>ported | With-<br>drawn | Totals |
|--------------|--------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|
| Totals       | 3413   | 45                | 0                | 16             | 3474   |

Specialized Needs Annexes

Note: Tests allocated to these annexes are processed only when the vendor claims support.

| SPECIALIZED<br>NEEDS ANNEXES  | Total            | With-<br>Drawn | Passed           | Inappli-<br>cable | Unsup-<br>ported |
|---|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| C Systems<br>Programming<br>& required Section 13<br>(representation support) | 24<br>161<br>--- | 2<br>1<br>---  | 21<br>160<br>--- | 1<br>0<br>---     | 0<br>0<br>---    |
|   | 185              | 3              | 181              | 1                 | 0                |
| D Real-Time<br>Systems<br>(which requires Annex C)                            | 58               | 5              | 53               | 0                 | 0                |
| E Distributed<br>Systems  | 26               | 0              | 0                | 0                 | 26               |
| F Information<br>Systems  | 21               | 0              | 0                | 0                 | 21               |
| G Numerics  | 29               | 1              | 28               | 0                 | 0                |
| H Safety and<br>Security  | 30               | 0              | 0                | 0                 | 30               |

Attachment to VC 980511e2.1-020 :  
Quantitative Validation Test Results

DECLARATION OF CONFORMANCE

---

Customer: Rational Software Corporation

Ada Validation Facility: Electronic Data Systems  
4646 Needmore Road, Bin #46  
P.O. Box 24593  
Dayton, OH 45424-0593  
U.S.A.

ACVC Version: 2.1

Ada Implementation

Ada Compiler Name and Version: Rational Apex Ada, Digital Alpha AXP/UNIX  
version 3.0.0 (pre-release)

Host Computer System: Digital AlphaStation 500/500  
Digital UNIX 4.0D

Target Computer System: Same as host

Declaration

I, the undersigned, declare that I have no knowledge of deliberate deviations from the Ada Language Standard ANSI/ISO/IEC 8652:1995, FIPS PUB 119-1 other than the omission of features as documented in this Validation Summary Report.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Customer Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

The Ada implementation described above was tested according to the Ada Validation Procedures [Pro97] against the Ada Standard [Ada95] using the Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC) Version 2.1. This Validation Summary Report (VSR) gives an account of the testing of this Ada implementation. For any technical terms used in this report, the reader is referred to [Pro97]. A detailed description of the ACVC may be found in the current ACVC User's Guide [UG97].

#### 1.1 USE OF THIS VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT

Consistent with the national laws of the originating country, the Ada Certification Body may make full and free public disclosure of this report. In the United States, this is provided in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). Validated status is awarded only to the implementation identified in this report. Copies of this report are available to the public from the AVF that performed this validation.

Questions regarding this report or the validation test results should be directed to the AVF which performed this validation or to the Ada Validation Organization. For all points of contact see Appendix B.

#### 1.2 ACVC TEST CLASSES

Compliance of Ada implementations is tested by means of the ACVC. The ACVC contains a collection of test programs structured into six test classes: A, B, C, D, E, and L. The first letter of a test name identifies the class to which it belongs. Class A, C, D, and E tests are executable. Class B and most Class L tests are expected to produce errors at compile time and link time, respectively.

The executable tests are written in a self-checking manner and produce a PASSED, FAILED, or NOT APPLICABLE message indicating the result when they are executed. Three Ada library units, the packages REPORT and SPRT13, and the procedure CHECK\_FILE are used for this purpose. The package REPORT also provides a set of identity functions used to defeat some compiler

## INTRODUCTION

optimizations allowed by the Ada Standard that would circumvent a test objective. The package SPRT13 contains constants of type SYSTEM.ADDRESS. These constants are used by selected Section 13 tests and by isolated tests for other sections. The procedure CHECK\_FILE is used to check the contents of text files written by some of the Class C tests for the Input-Output features of the Ada Standard, defined in Annex A of [Ada 95]. The operation of REPORT and CHECK\_FILE is checked by a set of executable tests. If these units are not operating correctly, validation testing is discontinued.

Class B tests check that a compiler detects illegal language usage. Class B tests are not executable. Each test in this class is compiled and the resulting compilation listing is examined to verify that all violations of the Ada Standard are detected. Some of the Class B tests contain legal Ada code which must not be flagged illegal by the compiler. This behavior is also verified.

Class L tests check that an Ada implementation correctly detects violation of the Ada Standard involving multiple, separately compiled units. In most Class L tests, errors are expected at link time, and execution must not begin. Other L tests may execute and report the appropriate result.

For some tests of the ACVC, certain implementation-specific values must be supplied. Two insertion methods for the implementation-specific values are used: a macro substitution on the source file level of the test, and linking of a package that contains the implementation specific values. Details are described in [UG97]. A list of the values used for this implementation, along with the specification and body of the package (and children applicable to any of Specialized Needs Annexes being tested) are provided in Section 3.2 of this report.

In addition to these anticipated test modifications, changes may be required to remove unforeseen conflicts between the tests and implementation-dependent characteristics. The modifications required for this implementation are described in Section 2.2.

For the validation of each Ada implementation, a customized test suite is produced by the AVF. This customization consists of making the modifications described in the preceding paragraph, removing withdrawn tests (see Section 2.1), and possibly removing some inapplicable tests (see Section 2.1 and [UG97]).

### 1.3 LEGACY TESTS

ACVC 2.1 consists of legacy tests and tests specific to Ada 95. The legacy tests were taken from ACVC 1.12 with possibly minor modifications to remove incompatibilities with Ada 95. The remaining tests were developed in order to test new features of Ada 95. A consequence of this approach is that the naming conventions for tests are not uniform. The test name of a legacy test always refers to the Ada 83 Standard, even if the feature covered by the test was moved to a different section in [Ada95].



## 1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Acceptable result                         | A result that is explicitly allowed by the grading criteria of the test program for a grade of passed or inapplicable.  |
| Ada compiler                              | The software and any needed hardware that have to be added to a given host and target computer system to allow transformation of Ada programs into executable form and execution thereof.   |
| Ada Compiler Validation Capability (ACVC) | The means for testing compliance of Ada implementations, consisting of the test suite, the support programs, the ACVC user's guide, and the template for the Validation Summary Report.   |
| ACVC Maintenance Organization (AMO)       | The part of the certification body that maintains the ACVC.   |
| Ada Implementation                        | An Ada compilation system, including any required runtime support software, together with its host computer system and its target computer system.  |
| Ada Joint Program Office (AJPO)           | The part of the certification body which provides policy and guidance for the Ada certification system.   |
| Ada Validation Facility (AVF)             | The part of the certification body which carries out the procedures required to establish the compliance of an Ada implementation.  |
| Ada Validation Organization (AVO)         | The part of the certification body that provides technical guidance for operations of the Ada certification system.   |
| Certification Body                        | The organizations (AJPO, AVO, AVFs), collectively responsible for defining and implementing Ada validation policy, including production and maintenance of the ACVC tests, and awarding of Ada validation certificates.   |
| Compliance of an Ada Implementation       | The ability of the implementation to pass an ACVC version.  |
| Computer System                           | A functional unit, consisting of one or more computers and associated software, that uses common storage for all or part of a program and also for all or part of the data necessary for the execution of the program; executes user-written or user-designated programs; performs user-designated data manipulation, including arithmetic operations and logic |

## INTRODUCTION

operations; and that can execute programs that modify themselves during execution. A computer system may be a stand-alone unit or may consist of several inter-connected units.

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Conformity                        | Fulfillment by a product, process or service of all requirements specified.   |
| Customer                          | An individual or corporate entity who enters into an agreement with an AVF which specifies the terms and conditions for AVF services (of any kind) to be performed.   |
| Declaration of Conformance        | A formal statement from a customer assuring that conformity is realized or is attainable on the Ada implementation for which validation status is realized.   |
| Foundation Unit (Foundation Code) | An Ada package used by multiple tests. Foundation units are designed to be reusable. A valid foundation unit must be in the Ada library for those tests that are dependent on the foundation unit.  |
| Host Computer System              | A computer system where Ada source programs are transformed into executable form.   |
| Inapplicable Test                 | A test that contains one or more test objectives found to be irrelevant for the given Ada implementation.   |
| ISO                               | International Organization for Standardization.   |
| Operating System                  | Software that controls the execution of programs and that provides services such as resource allocation, scheduling, input/output control, and data management.   |
| Specialized Needs Annex           | One of annexes C through H of [Ada95]. Validation against one or more specialized needs annexes is optional. For each annex, there is a test set that applies to it. In addition to all core language tests, the appropriate set of tests must be processed satisfactorily for an implementation to be validated for a specialized needs annex. |
| Target Computer System            | A computer system where the executable form of Ada programs are executed.   |
| Unsupported Feature Test          | A test for a language feature that is not required to be supported, because it is based upon a requirement stated in an Ada 95 Specialized Needs Annex.   |
| Validated Ada Compiler            | The compiler of a validated Ada implementation.   |
| Validated Ada Implementation      | An Ada implementation that has been validated successfully either by AVF testing or by registration [Pro97].  |

Validation        The process of checking the conformity of an Ada compiler to the Ada programming language and of issuing a certificate for this implementation.

Withdrawn Test    A test found to be incorrect and not used in conformity testing. A test may be incorrect because it has an invalid test objective, fails to meet its test objective, or contains erroneous or illegal use of the Ada programming language.

## CHAPTER 2

### IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

#### 2.1 INAPPLICABLE TESTS

A test is inapplicable if it contains test objectives which are irrelevant for a given Ada implementation. Reasons for a test's inapplicability may be supported by documents issued by the ISO and the AJPO known as Ada Commentaries and commonly referenced in the format AI-ddddd. For this implementation, the following tests were determined to be inapplicable for the reasons indicated; references to Ada Commentaries are included as appropriate.

C45624A..B (2 tests) check that the proper exception is raised if `MACHINE_OVERFLOW`s is `FALSE` for floating point types and the results of various floating-point operations lie outside the range of the base type; for this implementation, `MACHINE_OVERFLOW`s is `TRUE`.

C96005B uses values of type `DURATION`'s base type that are outside the range of type `DURATION`; for this implementation, the ranges are the same.

EA3004G checks whether `Pragma Inline` is obeyed for a function called from within a package specification. This implementation does not obey `Pragma Inline` in this circumstance.

CD1009C checks whether a length clause can specify a non-default size for a floating-point type; this implementation does not support such sizes.

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

The tests listed in the following table check that `USE_ERROR` is raised if the given file operations are not supported for the given combination of mode and access method; this implementation supports these operations.

| Test    | File Operation | Mode       | File Access Method |
|---------|----------------|------------|--------------------|
| CE2102D | CREATE         | IN_FILE    | SEQUENTIAL_IO      |
| CE2102E | CREATE         | OUT_FILE   | SEQUENTIAL_IO      |
| CE2102F | CREATE         | INOUT_FILE | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102I | CREATE         | IN_FILE    | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102J | CREATE         | OUT_FILE   | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102N | OPEN           | IN_FILE    | SEQUENTIAL_IO      |
| CE2102O | RESET          | IN_FILE    | SEQUENTIAL_IO      |
| CE2102P | OPEN           | OUT_FILE   | SEQUENTIAL_IO      |
| CE2102Q | RESET          | OUT_FILE   | SEQUENTIAL_IO      |
| CE2102R | OPEN           | INOUT_FILE | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102S | RESET          | INOUT_FILE | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102T | OPEN           | IN_FILE    | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102U | RESET          | IN_FILE    | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102V | OPEN           | OUT_FILE   | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE2102W | RESET          | OUT_FILE   | DIRECT_IO          |
| CE3102E | CREATE         | IN_FILE    | TEXT_IO            |
| CE3102F | RESET          | Any Mode   | TEXT_IO            |
| CE3102G | DELETE         | -----      | TEXT_IO            |
| CE3102I | CREATE         | OUT_FILE   | TEXT_IO            |
| CE3102J | OPEN           | IN_FILE    | TEXT_IO            |
| CE3102K | OPEN           | OUT_FILE   | TEXT_IO.           |

CE2203A checks that `WRITE` raises `USE_ERROR` if the capacity of an external sequential file is exceeded; this implementation cannot restrict file capacity.

CE2403A checks that `WRITE` raises `USE_ERROR` if the capacity of an external direct file is exceeded; this implementation cannot restrict file capacity.

CE3115A checks operations on text files when multiple internal files are associated with the same external file and one or more are open for writing; `USE_ERROR` is raised when this association is attempted.

CE3304A checks that `SET_LINE_LENGTH` and `SET_PAGE_LENGTH` raise `USE_ERROR` if they specify an inappropriate value for the external file; there are no inappropriate values for this implementation.

CE3413B checks that `PAGE` raises `LAYOUT_ERROR` when the value of the page number exceeds `COUNT'LAST`; for this implementation, the value of `COUNT'LAST` is greater than 150000, making the checking of this objective impractical.

CXB4001..9 (9 tests) depend on the availability of an interface to COBOL; this implementation does not support Cobol interfaces. (See section 2.2 re CXB4001.)

CXB5001..5 (5 tests) depend upon the availability of an interface to Fortran; this implementation does not support Fortran interfaces.

CXC6001 checks for incorrect usages of atomic and volatile elementary types. This implementation does not support indivisible read/update for some types; the application of pragma atomic to a record type in line 65 is rejected at compile time by this implementation.

## 2.2 MODIFICATIONS

In order to comply with the test objective it may be required to modify the test source code, the test processing method, or the test evaluation method. Modifications are allowable because at the time of test writing not all possible execution environments of the test and the capabilities of the compiler could be foreseen. Possible kinds of modification are:

- o Test Modification: The source code of the test is changed.  
Examples for test modifications are the insertion of a pragma, the insertion of a representation clause, or the splitting of a B-test into several individual tests, if the compiler does not detect all intended errors in the original test.
- o Processing Modification: The processing of the test by the Ada implementation for validation is changed.  
Examples for processing modification are the change of the compilation order for a test that consists of multiple compilations or the additional compilation of a specific support unit in the library.
- o Evaluation Modification: The evaluation of a test result is changed.  
An example for evaluation modification is the grading of a test other than the output from REPORT.RESULT indicates. This may be required if the test makes assumptions about implementation features that are not supported by the implementation (e.g., the implementation of a file system on a bare target machine).

All modifications have been directed by the AVO after consulting the AVF and the customer on the technical justification of the modification.

Modifications were required for 120 tests.

The following 86 tests were split into two or more tests because this implementation did not report the violations of the Ada Standard in the way expected by the original tests.

|         |         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| B23002A | B23004A | B23004B | B24001A | B24001B |
| B24001C | B24005A | B24005B | B24007A | B24009B |
| B24104A | B24204A | B24204B | B24204C | B24204D |

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

|         |         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| B24204E | B24204F | B24205A | B24206A | B24206B |
| B25002A | B25002B | B26001A | B26002A | B26005A |
| B29001A | B2A003A | B2A003B | B2A003C | B2A003D |
| B2A003E | B2A003F | B2A005A | B2A005B | B2A007A |
| B2A021A | B32201A | B33101A | B33201B | B35101A |
| B36002A | B36201A | B37106A | B38003C | B38003D |
| B38009D | B393002 | B41201A | B44001A | B44004A |
| B44004B | B44004C | B45205A | B48002A | B48002D |
| B51001A | B55A01A | B61005A | B67001A | B67001B |
| B67001C | B67001D | B67001H | B940002 | B95001D |
| B95003A | B95004A | B95007B | B95063A | BA1001D |
| BA21003 | BC1013A | BC1109A | BC1109B | BC1109C |
| BC1109D | BC1201A | BC1303F | BC2001D | BC2001E |
| BC3005B | BC3013A | BC51016 | BC51017 | BC51018 |
| BD4011A |         |         |         |         |

B393006, BC51C02, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
for B393006, comment out lines 102 & 103;
for BC51C02, comment out line 194
```

These code modifications will remove unintended illegalities from the test programs, while retaining all intended illegalities (the check that is lost is that compilers don't wrongly treat Func as overriding in cases where it isn't--however, in these cases, it can't be legally declared for the particular checks).

B610001, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
comment out lines 221, 223, 225, & 228
```

These lines are ambiguous, by ARM 3.10.2(2) and 8.6(27).

C761007, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
replace line 376
    TCTouch.Validate( "GHGHIJ", "Asynchronously aborted operation" );
with:
    TCTouch.Validate( "GHIJ", "Asynchronously aborted operation" );
```

The original code will cause the check at line 376 to be failed because the procedures C761007\_0.Finalize (@87ff) and C761007\_1.Finalize (@133ff) both ensure that no duplicate characters are put into the check string. (The AVO requires this change so to retain this test for finalization, as several related test programs are withdrawn.)

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

C980001, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
comment out lines 251 & 274 (=> -- C980001_0.Hold_Up.Lock )
```

This modification is necessary in order to prevent the test from hanging with a queued call to the protected object C980001\_0.Hold\_Up.

CA2009C and CA2009F, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
delete the control-Z characters from each of the test files
```

BC3503A, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
comment out lines 100, 109, & 118 (these lines are LEGAL in Ada 95)
```

Each of the package instantiations PS3, PR3, & PP3 is legal in Ada 95, as the requirement for matching in Ada 95 is for the formal and actual access TYPES' (not the actual SUBtype's) designated subtypes.

BC3503C, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
comment out line 63 (this line is LEGAL in Ada 95)
```

The package instantiation PU3 is legal in Ada 95 (see BC3503A's entry).

CDB0A02 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
at line 313, change the range's upper bound of 24 to '33';  
at line 320, change the range's upper bound of 30 to '35'.
```

These changes should enable the implementation to pass the test program.

CXA5012, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
at line 86, change '100_000' to '10_000'
```

This code modification is necessary for any implementation that defines type Integer to have a 16-bit range.



## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

CXA5015, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

at line 252 change '4.1' to '4.0'

At line 255, T'Adjacent (TC\_Float,TC\_float) /= TC\_Float may be True because the function result is given at greater precision for non-model 4.1 than the stored result.

CXB3008 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modifications:

at line 106, insert ' type acc\_ptr is access IC.char\_array; ' at line 107, change function String\_To\_Double's parameter profile to: '(The\_String : in IC.char\_array ; End\_Ptr: acc\_ptr := null)'

at line 125, change 'atof' to 'strtod'

This code modification alters the previously specified one by giving the Ada function corresponding to strtod a second parameter which, we hope, will make it independent of lunar orientation.

CXB3009, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

comment out lines 264..287

This change simply removes the entire test block beginning at line 264, which checks that Storage\_Error is raised as per the standard B.3.1(28). There are many reasons why the expected Storage\_Error might not be raised --too much available storage, too little time, even storage reclamation!

CXB3010, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

replicate line 199 at line 256, to update the pointer object's value:

```
TC_chars_ptr := ICS.New_Char_Array(TC_char_array_2);
```

The change is necessary to ensure that TC\_chars\_ptr has a valid pointer value; the original code references TC\_chars\_ptr after Free was applied to it, and so by B.3.1(51,53) that execution may be erroneous.

CXB4001, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

at line 198: change 'To\_Comp' to 'To\_Binary'

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

The function `To_Comp` was defined in draft versions of the Ada 95 standard but was changed to `To_Binary` for the final (B.4:45).

CXB4007, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

comment out lines 263..268

The `Byte_Array` values returned by two calls of `To_Binary` should not be expected to be equal, contrary to this particular check.

CXB5004, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification to the file `CXB50040.FTN`:

at line f0-79, change `'INVARR(3)'` to `'INVARR'` [nb: not line 81]

at line f0-83, change `'STR'` to `'STR *7'`

The changes specified above are necessary in order to produce a legal Fortran program to be used for the test program's interfacing checks.

BXC6001, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

comment out pragma `Atomic` at lines 98, 106, & 116

BXC6A01, BXC6A02, and BXC6A04, as directed by the AVO, were graded passed with the following Code Modification to the foundation file `FXC6A00`:

comment out lines 103 & 113

The application of a pragma `Volatile` to derived types `Volatile_Composite` and `Volatile_Array` violates 13.1(10), for these types are untagged derived types (with tagged components) whose parent types are by-reference types (by 6.2:5,8). The only test that references these two types is BXC6A03, and this test is withdrawn (for a similar reason).

CXD1008, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

comment out the check @228..232

This check may fail if an implementation uses different representations (lengths) of the compared values--one possibly the register contents of evaluation, the other a stored copy--, as the value is not a model number.

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

CXD6001, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modifications:

```
at line 114 insert 'with ImpDef;'  
at lines 270, 285, & 300 append ' Delay ImpDef.Clear_Ready_Queue;'
```

This delay statement will enable the Victim\_Type tasks to complete before Check\_Results is called.

CXD6002, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification (for this non-uni-processor implementation):

```
insert immediately after line 348: CXD6002_1.Done;  
(i.e., replicate line 357 here)
```

On a non-uni-processor system, this code is necessary to terminate the task CXD6002\_1.Weapon (line 110).

CXG1004 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
at lines 294,307,320,333 replace characters '_i' with '_One'
```

The required change makes these assignments use the intended variable. The test was coded with a simple typographical error in what are checks of a clearly defined requirement--that Constraint\_Error be raised for the complex elementary functions Arctanh & Arccoth with a parameter of plus or minus one. Implementers of the Numerics Annex should understand these requirements regardless of the coding of this ACVC test program.

CXG2002 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
at lines 99 & 279 change the expression  
  'Mre * abs Expected * Real'Model_Epsilon'  
to: 'Mre *(abs Expected * Real'Model_Epsilon)'
```

This change will ensure that the expression is not evaluated by multiplying its two large terms together and overflowing.

CXG2004 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

```
comment out lines 455, 456, & 457 (calls to Sin_Check & Cos_Check)
```

By removing the calls to the flawed routines, the test program's two other, valid, routines can still be used.

IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

CXG2011, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

at line 394: change 'Failed' to 'Comment'

This change allows the non-conforming raising of `Argument_Error`, and so does not penalize implementers for meeting the test's original requirement. However, implementations should raise `Constraint_Error` in this case, as per A.5.1(28,29), which will be required under ACVC 2.2 validation.

CXG2012, CXG2020 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modifications:

at lines 124 & 119, respectively of CXG2012 & CXG2020,  
change the expression

'Mre \* abs Expected \* Real'Model\_Epsilon'  
to: 'Mre \*(abs Expected \* Real'Model\_Epsilon)'

This change will ensure that the expression is not evaluated by multiplying its two large terms together and overflowing.

CXG2013 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modifications:

comment out line 434 (the call to `Special_Angle_Test`)

By removing the call to the flawed routine, the test program's other, valid, checks can be made. (The `Special_Angle_Test` is argued to be too lenient, re `Tan` with `cycle=360.0` degrees, and too severe, re `cycle` in radians.)

at line 89: change '1000' to '1001'

The change should preclude an even factor of 0.5 in the expression at line 295, and hence the even results of  $\text{Pi}$  for  $X$  and  $\text{Pi}/2$  for  $Y$  --which is sufficiently near a pole of the `Tan` function and may overflow (A.5.1:34).

CXG2014 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

comment out line 345 (the call to `Subtraction_Error_Test`)

By effectively deleting this one line, the flawed subprogram will be removed from execution, and the other, valid checks can be made.

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

CXG2016, as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modification:

comment out lines 417 & 418

These lines contain the only calls to the incorrect procedure `Identity_1_Test`. The "conversion to degrees" at line 280 is not sensible, and will wrongly cause the test to be failed.

CXG2017 (CM=P), as directed by the AVO, was graded passed with the following Code Modifications:

change line 212, by inserting parens, from  
X := (B - A) \* Real (I) / Real (Max\_Samples) + A;  
to  
X := (B - A) \*(Real (I) / Real (Max\_Samples))+ A;

comment out line 256 (the first call to `Identity_Test`)

The first code modification removes the potential for overflow, forcing one of the allowed orders of evaluation for the original code. The second change removes the invocation of `Identity_Test` that checks `Tanh` values that are too close to zero for the test's error bounds.

### 2.3 UNSUPPORTED FEATURES OF THE ADA 95 SPECIALIZED NEEDS ANNEXES

As allowed by [Ada95], an implementation need not support any of the capabilities specified by a Specialized Needs Annex, or it may support some or all of them. For validation testing, each set of tests for a particular Annex is processed only upon customer request, but is processed in full (even if the Ada implementation provides only partial support). When such a test cannot be passed, because the implementation provides only partial support, the result is graded "unsupported" (rather than "inapplicable").

The set of tests for each of the following Specialized Needs Annexes was not processed during this validation testing:

Annex E, Distributed Systems (all BXE\* & CXE\* files)

Annex F, Information Systems (all BXF\* & CXF\* files)

Annex H, Safety and Security (all BXH\*, CXH\*, & LXH\* files)

The following tests for Annex C, Systems Programming, were graded "unsupported": none.

The following tests for Annex D, Real-Time Systems, were graded "unsupported": none.

## IMPLEMENTATION DEPENDENCIES

The following tests for Annex G, Numerics, were graded "unsupported": none.

## CHAPTER 3

### PROCESSING INFORMATION

#### 3.1 VALIDATION PROCESS

A full prevalidation was conducted at the AVF's site.

Validation testing of this Ada implementation was conducted at the customer's site by a validation team from the AVF.

Diskettes containing the customized test suite (see section 1.3) were taken on-site by the validation team for processing. The contents of the diskettes were loaded onto a PC and uploaded to the host/target computer.

After the test files were loaded onto the host computer, the full set of tests was processed by the Ada implementation.

The tests were compiled, linked, and executed on the host computer system.

Testing was performed using command scripts provided by the customer and reviewed by the validation team. See Appendix A for a complete listing of the processing options for this implementation. It also indicates the default options. The options invoked explicitly for validation testing during this test were:

-compile X1 X2 X3 ...

This switch is included on the command line. This enables the following actions which would not otherwise occur:

1. Compilation units in each listed file are parsed in order, and if there are duplicate units within the file X<sub>n</sub>, the later ones overwrite the earlier ones.

2. If there are syntax errors, a listing file is produced. Syntax corrections that would normally be just applied to the file are instead converted to error messages in the listing file.

3. The compilation units of the file are installed, in an order chosen by the compiler. If there are semantic errors, a list file is produced. The order of the files in the list file will be in compilation order, not the order in which they appear in the original file.





## PROCESSING INFORMATION

4. If each of the comp units installed successfully, the compiler searches the view for .ada files that have been obsolesced by the newly compiled files. Any xxx.ada files that are obsolesced are renamed xxx.ada.obs.

Test output, compiler and linker listings, and job logs were captured on diskettes and archived at the AVF. The listings examined on-site by the validation team were also archived.

### 3.2 MACRO PARAMETERS AND IMPLEMENTATION-SPECIFIC VALUES

This section contains the macro parameters used for customizing the ACVC. The meaning and purpose of these parameters are explained in [UG97]. The parameter values are presented in two tables. The first table lists the values that are defined in terms of the maximum input-line length, which is the value for \$MAX\_IN\_LEN, also listed here. These values are expressed in a symbolic notation, using placeholders as appropriate.

#### 3.2.1 Macro Parameters

| Macro Parameter      | Macro Value                            |
|----------------------|--|
| \$MAX_IN_LEN         | 254                                    |
| \$BIG_ID1            | AAA ... A1 (254 characters)            |
| \$BIG_ID2            | AAA ... A2 (254 characters)            |
| \$BIG_ID3            | AAA ... A3A ... A (254 characters)     |
| \$BIG_ID4            | AAA ... A4A ... A (254 characters)     |
| \$BIG_STRING1        | "AAA ... A" (254/2 characters)         |
| \$BIG_STRING2        | "AAA ... A1" ((254/2)-1 characters)    |
| \$BLANKS             | " ... " (254-20 blanks)                |
| \$MAX_STRING_LITERAL | "AAA ... A" (254 characters)           |
| \$ACC_SIZE           | 64                                     |
| \$ALIGNMENT          | 1                                      |
| \$COUNT_LAST         | 1_000_000_000                          |
| \$ENTRY_ADDRESS      | SYSTEM.STORAGE_ELEMENTS.TO_ADDRESS(30) |

## PROCESSING INFORMATION

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| \$ENTRY_ADDRESS1              | SYSTEM.STORAGE_ELEMENTS.TO_ADDRESS(31) |
| \$ENTRY_ADDRESS2              | SYSTEM.STORAGE_ELEMENTS.TO_ADDRESS(2)  |
| \$FIELD_LAST                  | 2_147_483_647                          |
| \$FORM_STRING                 | " "                                    |
| \$FORM_STRING2                | "CANNOT_RESTRICT_FILE_CAPACITY"        |
| \$GREATER_THAN_DURATION       | 1.0                                    |
| \$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME1 | BAD/_CHARACTERS                        |
| \$ILLEGAL_EXTERNAL_FILE_NAME2 | CONTAINS/_WILDCARDS                    |
| \$INAPPROPRIATE_LINE_LENGTH   | -1                                     |
| \$INAPPROPRIATE_PAGE_LENGTH   | -1                                     |
| \$INTEGER_FIRST               | -2147483648                            |
| \$INTEGER_LAST                | 2147483647                             |
| \$LESS_THAN_DURATION          | -1.0                                   |
| \$MACHINE_CODE_STATEMENT      | CODE_0'(OP => NOP);                    |
| \$MAX_INT                     | 9223372036854775807                    |
| \$MIN_INT                     | -9223372036854775808                   |
| \$NAME                        | SHORT_SHORT_INTEGER                    |
| \$NAME_SPECIFICATION1         | X2120A                                 |
| \$NAME_SPECIFICATION2         | X2120B                                 |
| \$NAME_SPECIFICATION3         | X3119A                                 |
| \$OPTIONAL_DISC               | (OP : OPCODE)                          |
| \$RECORD_DEFINITION           | RECORD OPRND_1 : OPERAND; END RECORD;  |
| \$RECORD_NAME                 | CODE_0                                 |
| \$TASK_SIZE                   | 64                                     |
| \$TASK_STORAGE_SIZE           | 8192                                   |
| \$VARIABLE_ADDRESS            | FCNDECL.ADDRESS0                       |
| \$VARIABLE_ADDRESS1           | FCNDECL.ADDRESS1                       |
| \$VARIABLE_ADDRESS2           | FCNDECL.ADDRESS2                       |



## Package ImpDef and Its Children

The package ImpDef is used by several tests of core language features. Before use in ACVC testing, this package is modified to specify certain implementation-defined features. In addition, package ImpDef has a child package for each Specialized Needs Annex, each of which may need similar modifications. The child packages are independent of one another, and are used only by tests for their respective annexes.

This section presents the package ImpDef and each of the relevant child packages as they were modified for this validation. In the interests of simplifying this VSR, the header comment block was removed from each of the package files.

## 3.2.1.1 Package ImpDef

```
-- IMPDEF.A
--
--!

with Report;
with Ada.Text_IO;
with System.Storage_Elements;

package ImpDef is
-----

  -- The following boolean constants indicate whether this validation will
  -- include any of annexes C-H. The values of these booleans affect the
  -- behavior of the test result reporting software.
  --
  --   True means the associated annex IS included in the validation.
  --   False means the associated annex is NOT included.

  Validating_Annex_C : constant Boolean := True;
  --          ^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

  Validating_Annex_D : constant Boolean := True;
  --          ^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

  Validating_Annex_E : constant Boolean := False;
  --          ^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

  Validating_Annex_F : constant Boolean := False;
  --          ^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

  Validating_Annex_G : constant Boolean := True;
  --          ^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

  Validating_Annex_H : constant Boolean := False;
  --          ^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

```

PROCESSING INFORMATION

-----  
-- This is the minimum time required to allow another task to get  
-- control. It is expected that the task is on the Ready queue.  
-- A duration of 0.0 would normally be sufficient but some number  
-- greater than that is expected.

Minimum\_Task\_Switch : constant Duration := 0.1;  
-- ^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

-----  
-- This is the time required to activate another task and allow it  
-- to run to its first accept statement. We are considering a simple task  
-- with very few Ada statements before the accept. An implementation is  
-- free to specify a delay of several seconds, or even minutes if need be.  
-- The main effect of specifying a longer delay than necessary will be an  
-- extension of the time needed to run the associated tests.

Switch\_To\_New\_Task : constant Duration := 1.0;  
-- ^^^ -- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

-----  
-- This is the time which will clear the queues of other tasks  
-- waiting to run. It is expected that this will be about five  
-- times greater than Switch\_To\_New\_Task.

Clear\_Ready\_Queue : constant Duration := 5.0;  
-- ^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

-----  
-- Some implementations will boot with the time set to 1901/1/1/0.0  
-- When a delay of Delay\_For\_Time\_Past is given, the implementation  
-- guarantees that a subsequent call to Ada.Calendar.Time\_Of(1901,1,1)  
-- will yield a time that has already passed (for example, when used in  
-- a delay\_until statement).

Delay\_For\_Time\_Past : constant Duration := 0.1;  
-- ^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

-----  
-- Minimum time interval between calls to the time dependent Reset  
-- procedures in Float\_Random and Discrete\_Random packages that is  
-- guaranteed to initiate different sequences. See RM A.5.2(45).

Time\_Dependent\_Reset : constant Duration := 0.3;  
-- ^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

-----  
-- Test CXA5013 will loop, trying to generate the required sequence

PROCESSING INFORMATION

```
-- of random numbers.  If the RNG is faulty, the required sequence
-- will never be generated.  Delay_Per_Random_Test is a time-out value
-- which allows the test to run for a period of time after which the
-- test is failed if the required sequence has not been produced.
-- This value should be the time allowed for the test to run before it
-- times out.  It should be long enough to allow multiple (independent)
-- runs of the testing code, each generating up to 1000 random
-- numbers.
```

```
Delay_Per_Random_Test : constant Duration := 1.0;
--                               ^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED
```

```
-----
-- The time required to execute this procedure must be greater than the
-- time slice unit on implementations which use time slicing.  For
-- implementations which do not use time slicing the body can be null.
```

```
procedure Exceed_Time_Slice;
```

```
-----
-- This constant must not depict a random number generator state value.
-- Using this string in a call to function Value from either the
-- Discrete_Random or Float_Random packages will result in
-- Constraint_Error (expected result in test CXA5012).
```

```
Non_State_String : constant String := "By No Means A State";
--               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
```

```
-----
-- This string constant must be a legal external tag value as used by
-- CD10001 for the type Some_Tagged_Type in the representation
-- specification for the value of 'External_Tag.
```

```
External_Tag_Value : constant String := "implementation_defined";
--               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
```

```
-----
-- The following address constant must be a valid address to locate
-- the C program CD30005_1.  It is shown here as a named number;
-- the implementation may choose to type the constant as appropriate.
procedure Cd30005_C_Support;
pragma Import (C, Cd30005_C_Support, External_Name => "_cd30005_1");
pragma Link_With ("cd300051.o");
```

```
CD30005_1_Foreign_Address : constant System.Address:=
  Cd30005_C_Support'Address;
```

```
--               System.Storage_Elements.To_Address ( 16#0000_0000# );
--               MODIFY HERE AS REQUIRED --- ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
```

PROCESSING INFORMATION

```
-----  
-- The following string constant must be the external name resulting  
-- from the C compilation of CD30005_1. The string will be used as an  
-- argument to pragma Import.  
  
CD30005_1_External_Name : constant String := "CD30005_1";  
--                               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^
```

```
-----  
-- The following constants should represent the largest default alignment  
-- value and the largest alignment value supported by the linker.  
-- See RM 13.3(35).  
  
Max_Default_Alignment : constant := 8;  
--                               ^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED  
  
Max_Linkers_Alignment  : constant := 8;  
--                               ^ --- MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED
```

```
-----  
-- The following string constants must be the external names resulting  
-- from the C compilation of CXB30130.C and CXB30131.C. The strings  
-- will be used as arguments to pragma Import.  
  
CXB30130_External_Name : constant String := "CXB30130";  
--                               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^  
  
CXB30131_External_Name : constant String := "CXB30131";  
--                               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^
```

```
-----  
-- The following string constants must be the external names resulting  
-- from the COBOL compilation of CXB40090.CBL, CXB40091.CBL, and  
-- CXB40092.CBL. The strings will be used as arguments to pragma Import.  
  
CXB40090_External_Name : constant String := "CXB40090";  
--                               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^  
  
CXB40091_External_Name : constant String := "CXB40091";  
--                               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^  
  
CXB40092_External_Name : constant String := "CXB40092";  
--                               MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^^
```

```
-----  
-- The following string constants must be the external names resulting  
-- from the Fortran compilation of CXB50040.FTN, CXB50041.FTN,  
-- CXB50050.FTN, and CXB50051.FTN.  
--
```



PROCESSING INFORMATION

-- The strings will be used as arguments to pragma Import.  
--  
-- Note that the use of these four string constants will be split between  
-- two tests, CXB5004 and CXB5005.

CXB50040\_External\_Name : constant String := "CXB50040";  
--                           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^

CXB50041\_External\_Name : constant String := "CXB50041";  
--                           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^

CXB50050\_External\_Name : constant String := "CXB50050";  
--                           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^

CXB50051\_External\_Name : constant String := "CXB50051";  
--                           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED --- ^^^^^^^^^

-----  
-- The following constants have been defined for use with the  
-- representation clause in FXACA00 of type Sales\_Record\_Type.  
--  
-- Char\_Bits should be an integer at least as large as the number  
-- of bits needed to hold a character in an array.  
-- A value of 6 \* Char\_Bits will be used in a representation clause  
-- to reserve space for a six character string.  
--  
-- Next\_Storage\_Slot should indicate the next storage unit in the record  
-- representation clause that does not overlap the storage designated for  
-- the six character string.

Char\_Bits                : constant := 8;  
--           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED ---^

Next\_Storage\_Slot : constant := 6;  
--           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED ---^

-----  
-- The following string constant must be the path name for the .AW  
-- files that will be processed by the Wide Character processor to  
-- create the C250001 and C250002 tests. The Wide Character processor  
-- will expect to find the files to process at this location.

Test\_Path\_Root : constant String :=  
  "/data/ftp/public/AdaIC/testing/acvc/95acvc/";  
--                           MODIFY HERE AS NEEDED

-- The following two strings must not be modified unless the .AW file  
-- names have been changed. The Wide Character processor will use  
-- these strings to find the .AW files used in creating the C250001  
-- and C250002 tests.

Wide\_Character\_Test : constant String := Test\_Path\_Root & "c250001";

PROCESSING INFORMATION

```
Upper_Latin_Test      : constant String := Test_Path_Root & "c250002";
```

-----

```
-- The following instance of Integer_IO or Modular_IO must be supplied
-- in order for test CD72A02 to compile correctly.
-- Depending on the choice of base type used for the type
-- System.Storage_Elements.Integer_Address; one of the two instances will
-- be correct. Comment out the incorrect instance.
```

```
--M package Address_Value_IO is
--M     new
Ada.Text_IO.Integer_IO(System.Storage_Elements.Integer_Address);
```

```
package Address_Value_IO is
    new Ada.Text_IO.Modular_IO(System.Storage_Elements.Integer_Address);
```

-----

```
end ImpDef;
```

-----

```
package body ImpDef is
```

```
-- NOTE: These are example bodies. It is expected that implementors
--       will write their own versions of these routines.
```

-----

```
-- The time required to execute this procedure must be greater than the
-- time slice unit on implementations which use time slicing. For
-- implementations which do not use time slicing the body can be null.
```

```
Procedure Exceed_Time_Slice is
    T : Integer := 0;
    Loop_Max : constant Integer := 4_000;
begin
    for I in 1..Loop_Max loop
        T := Report.Ident_Int (1) * Report.Ident_Int (2);
    end loop;
end Exceed_Time_Slice;
```

-----

```
end ImpDef;
```





-----

end ImpDef.Annex\_C;

PROCESSING INFORMATION

3.2.1.3 Package ImpDef.Annex\_D

```
-- This is an Apex-specific function to determine if one of the multiprocessor
-- (i.e. threaded) systems is being tested. It returns an integer
-- instead of a Imdef.Annex_D.Processor_Type value to avoid
-- being dependent on the spec of Imdef.Annex_D, whose elaboration has to
-- call it.
-- ??? This currently makes no attempt to figure out if the system is
-- time sliced. Time slicing is disabled by the FIFO_Within_Priorities
-- Task_Dispatching policy, which should be in any test that cares about this.
```

```
with System;
function Get_Processor_Type return Integer is
    type Processor_Type is (Uni_Processor, Time_Slice, Multi_Processor);
    for Processor_Type use
        (Uni_Processor => 0, Time_Slice => 1, Multi_Processor => 2);
begin
    if System.Name'Image (System.System_Name) = "SPARC_SOLARIS_THREAD" or else
       System.Name'Image (System.System_Name) = "ALPHA_OSF1_THREAD" or else
       System.Name'Image (System.System_Name) = "MIPS_IRIX5_THREAD" then
        return Processor_Type'Pos (Multi_Processor);
    else
        return Processor_Type'Pos (Uni_Processor);
    end if;
end Get_Processor_Type;
```

```
-- IMPDEFD.A
--
--!
```

```
with Get_Processor_Type;
package ImpDef.Annex_D is
```

-----

```
-- This constant is the maximum storage size that can be specified
-- for a task. A single task that has this size must be able to
-- run. Ideally, this value is large enough that two tasks of this
-- size cannot run at the same time. If the value is too small then
-- test CXDC001 may take longer to run. See the test for further
-- information.
```

```
Maximum_Task_Storage_Size : constant := 16_000_000;
--          ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS
```

NEEDED

-----

```
-- Indicates the type of processor on which the tests are running.
-- Time_Slice indicates a uniprocessor with an operating system that
-- simulates a multi-processor by using time slicing.
```

PROCESSING INFORMATION

```
type Processor_Type is (Uni_Processor, Time_Slice, Multi_Processor);

for Processor_Type use
  (Uni_Processor => 0, Time_Slice => 1, Multi_Processor => 2);

Processor : constant Processor_Type := Processor_Type'Val
(Get_Processor_Type);
--          ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ --- MODIFY HERE AS
NEEDED

-----

end ImpDef.Annex_D;
```





PROCESSING INFORMATION

3.2.1.4 Package ImpDef.Annex\_G

```
-- IMPDEFG.A
--
--                               Grant of Unlimited Rights
--
-- Under contracts F33600-87-D-0337, F33600-84-D-0280, MDA903-79-C-0687
and
-- F08630-91-C-0015, the U.S. Government obtained unlimited rights in the
-- software and documentation contained herein. Unlimited rights are
-- defined in DFAR 252.227-7013(a)(19). By making this public release,
-- the Government intends to confer upon all recipients unlimited rights
-- equal to those held by the Government. These rights include rights to
-- use, duplicate, release or disclose the released technical data and
-- computer software in whole or in part, in any manner and for any
purpose
-- whatsoever, and to have or permit others to do so.
```

DISCLAIMER

```
-- ALL MATERIALS OR INFORMATION HEREIN RELEASED, MADE AVAILABLE OR
-- DISCLOSED ARE AS IS. THE GOVERNMENT MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED
-- WARRANTY AS TO ANY MATTER WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING THE CONDITIONS OF THE
-- SOFTWARE, DOCUMENTATION OR OTHER INFORMATION RELEASED, MADE AVAILABLE
-- OR DISCLOSED, OR THE OWNERSHIP, MERCHANTABILITY, OR FITNESS FOR A
-- PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF SAID MATERIAL.
```

--\*

DESCRIPTION:

```
-- This package provides tailorable entities for a particular
-- implementation. Each entity may be modified to suit the needs
-- of the implementation. Default values are provided to act as
-- a guide.
```

```
-- The entities in this package are those which are used exclusively
-- in tests for Annex G (Numerics).
```

APPLICABILITY CRITERIA:

```
-- This package is only required for implementations validating the
-- Numerics Annex.
```

CHANGE HISTORY:

```
-- 29 Jan 96 SAIC Initial version for ACVC 2.1.
```

--!

package ImpDef.Annex\_G is

-----

```
-- This function must return a "negative zero" value for implementations
-- for which Float'Signed_Zeros is True.
```



```

function Negative_Zero return Float;
-----

end ImpDef.Annex_G;

-----

package body ImpDef.Annex_G is

  -- NOTE: These are example bodies.  It is expected that implementors
  --       will write their own versions of these routines.

  -----

  -- This function must return a negative zero value for implementations
  -- for which Float'Signed_Zeros is True.
  --
  -- The default body simply returns a negated literal 0.0.  If the
  -- default body does not return the value corresponding to a negatively
  -- signed zero for the implementation under test, it must be replaced
  -- by one which does.  See RM A.5.3(13).

  function Negative_Zero return Float is
  begin
    return -0.0;
  end Negative_Zero;

  -----

end ImpDef.Annex_G;

```

PROCESSING INFORMATION

3.3 WITHDRAWN TESTS

At the time of this validation testing, the following 24 tests were withdrawn from the ACVC 2.1 test suite.

|         |         |         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| B37312B | BXC6A03 | C390010 | C392010 | C392012 | C42006A |
| C48009A | C760007 | C760012 | C761006 | C761008 | C761009 |
| C9A005A | C9A008A | CD20001 | CXC3004 | CXD2005 | CXD4009 |
| CXD5002 | CXDB005 | CXDC001 | CXG2022 | E28002B | LA1001F |

## APPENDIX A

### COMPILATION SYSTEM OPTIONS AND LINKER OPTIONS

#### A.1 Compilation System Options

The compiler options of this Ada implementation, as described in this Appendix, are provided by the customer. Unless specifically noted otherwise, references in this Appendix are to compiler documentation and not to this report.

`-compile X1 X2, X3 ...`

Processes the files X1 X2 X3... in order. For each file Xn, the following operations are performed:

The file Xn can contain several compilation units. Those units are parsed in order, and if there are duplicate units within the file Xn, the later ones overwrite the earlier ones.

If there are syntax errors, a listing file is produced. Syntax corrections that would normally be just applied to the file are instead converted to error messages in the listing file.

Next, the compilation units of the file are installed, in an order chosen by the compiler. If there are semantic errors, a list file is produced. The order of the files in the list file will be in compilation order, not the order in which they appear in Xn.

Finally, if each of the comp units installed successfully, the compiler searches the view for .ada files that have been obsolesced by the newly compiled files. Any xxx.ada files that are obsolesced are renamed xxx.ada.obs.

By default, these actions are not enabled. Placing the `-compile` switch on the command line enables these actions.

`CLEAN_GOAL`

The state to which the units will be cleaned. All compilation artifacts associated with higher states are deleted. Default: Archived

`CLOSURE`

Used to determine additional units to be analyzed. Default: installed

## COMPILATION SYSTEM OPTIONS AND LINKER OPTIONS

### COMPILER\_KEY

The compiler to use, which provides for platform-specific semantic checking and code generation. Default: \$APEX\_BASE/ada/keys/\$APEX\_ARCH.2.4.0

### FIRST\_ERROR

Continue past the first unit with errors. If True, command will stop after the first unit containing an error. Default: False

### FLAG\_INEVITABLE\_EXCEPTIONS

Control the handling of any statically determinable situation that is certain to raise an exception when executed, such as an out-of-bounds assignment. Default: False

### IGNORE\_INVALID\_REP\_SPECS

Control the handling of invalid or unsupported representation specifications. Representation specifications are considered invalid if they do not conform to the restrictions specified in "LRM Annex M: Implementation-Dependent Characteristics." Default: False

### IGNORE\_REP\_SPECS

Ignore representation specifications during semantic analysis.  
Default: False

### IGNORE\_UNSUPPORTED\_REP\_SPECS

Control the handling of unsupported representation specifications. This switch is overridden by the IGNORE\_INVALID\_REP\_SPECS switch. When IGNORE\_INVALID\_REP\_SPECS is True, this switch has no effect. If IGNORE\_INVALID\_REP\_SPECS is False and this switch is True, unsupported representation specifications are reported with warning messages in the output window and are otherwise ignored. If this switch is False, unsupported representation specifications are treated as errors, causing analysis of the units that contain them to fail. Default: False

### INTO

The directory into which the files will be parsed. Must name a view or a directory in a view. If blank, the current directory is used. Default:  
" " (current directory)

### NEW\_RELEASE

Recompiles the units in each view, converting to new DIANA, CG attribute, and program library formats Default: False

### OPTIMIZATION\_LEVEL

The optimization level to use for compiling. 0 is fastest compilation, 2 is best code. This switch also controls how much inlining is done: at level 0, no routines are inlined; at level 1, only those routines with an applied pragma Inline are candidates for inlining; at level 2, all routines declared within the current same compilation unit as the call site are candidates for inlining, in addition to those made available at level 1 with a pragma Inline. Default: 0

### OPTIMIZATION\_OBJECTIVE

## OPTIONS

The optimization objective, either Time or Space, to be used for any compilation unit that does not contain a pragma Optimize. Execution speed (Time) or code size (Space) are never completely ignored, but if this switch is set to Time, the compiler places greater emphasis on optimizing for speed, while the size of the code is of secondary importance. If it is set to Space, optimizations for speed that increase size are not done. If this switch is set to Space, the loop unrolling optimization (usually performed at level 2) is not performed, Default: Time

## PROFILING

The type of profiling to use when preparing the code. Set this switch to "" to turn off profiling. For native compilers, both Gprof and Prof are valid settings. For embedded compilers, only Prof is recognized.

Default: ""

## REJECT\_BAD\_LRM\_PRAGMAS

Control the handling of illegal Ada pragmas. When True, illegal Ada pragmas are treated as errors, thus causing analysis of the units that contain them to fail. When False, illegal Ada pragmas are reported with warning messages in the output window and are otherwise ignored.

Default: False

## REJECT\_BAD\_RATIONAL\_PRAGMAS

Control the handling of illegal Rational-defined pragmas. When True, illegal Rational pragmas are treated as errors, thus causing analysis of the units that contain them to fail. When False, illegal Rational pragmas are reported with warning messages in the output window and are otherwise ignored. Default: False

## REJECT\_INEVITABLE\_EXCEPTIONS

Control the handling of any statically determinable situation that is certain to raise an exception when executed, such as an out-of-bounds assignment. When True, this switch overrides the FLAG\_INEVITABLE\_EXCEPTIONS switch and inevitable exceptions are treated as errors, thus causing analysis of the units that contain them to fail. When False, the treatment of inevitable exceptions depends on the setting of the FLAG\_INEVITABLE\_EXCEPTIONS switch. Default: False

## REJECT\_PROMPTS

The compiler will allow you to code units that contain •[statement] prompts. Default: False

## REJECT\_SYNTAX\_ERRORS

The editor and compiler should make syntactic corrections to the programs. When the value is False, corrections are made. When True, corrections are not made; you must make them. Default: False

## REJECT\_UNDEFINED\_PRAGMAS

Control the handling of any pragmas not defined in the LRM or in the Compiler Reference. When True, undefined pragmas are treated as errors, thus causing analysis of the units that contain them to fail. When False, undefined pragmas are reported with warning messages in the output window and are otherwise ignored. Default: False

## COMPILATION SYSTEM OPTIONS AND LINKER OPTIONS

### TARGET\_DIRECTORY

Target directory for cross compiling. Default: " "

### TARGET\_MACHINE

Host name of the target machine for cross compiling. Default: " "

### TRACING

The type of tracing to perform. The value can be a combination of types, e.g. Runtime+Call\_Return. Default: RUNTIME



## OPTIONS

## A.2 Linker Options

The linker options of this Ada implementation, as described in this Appendix, are provided by the customer. Unless specifically noted otherwise, references in this Appendix are to linker documentation and not to this report.

-----  
 ALL LINKER SWITCHES  
 -----

## ADA\_LINK\_MODE

Specifies the link mode for Ada main programs in the view. Valid for targets that support shared libraries. Default: default

## COMPILER\_KEY

The compiler to use, which provides for platform-specific semantic checking and code generation. Default: \$APEX\_BASE/ada/keys/\$APEX\_ARCH.2.4.0

## CONFIGURATION

Configuration to use during a link to compute the closure of a main program. If blank, the imports are used. Can be used to specify units to compile beyond those found in the code closure in the Compiler Switches imported views. Default: " "

## ELABORATION\_ORDER\_LISTING

Create a file containing a listing of the elaboration order of the units in its closure is created when a main program is linked. Elaboration-order listings can be created only for main programs. Default: False

## INCREMENTAL\_LINK

Attempt to use incremental features of the platform linker. Default: False

## LINK\_CONTRIBUTION\_DEFAULT\_MODE

The LINK\_CONTRIBUTION\_DEFAULT\_MODE switch provides view selective control over linking with/without shared libraries. This switch is only valid when the ADA\_LINK\_MODE switch in the Ada main program's view is "dynamic\_or\_static". A LINK\_CONTRIBUTION\_DEFAULT\_MODE of "static" indicates that only object files are to be used from that view in a link process. Any shared library is to be ignored. If the LINK\_CONTRIBUTION\_DEFAULT\_MODE is "dynamic", only the shared library in the view is to be used for a link. It is an error at link-time if the view cannot provide a shared library (that is, the view was compiled with CREATED\_SHARED\_LIBRARY set to FALSE). A LINK\_CONTRIBUTION\_DEFAULT\_MODE of "dynamic\_or\_static" specifies that the shared library is to be used if the view is a shared library view (CREATE\_SHARED\_LIBRARY = TRUE) and the object files are to be used otherwise. If the LINK\_CONTRIBUTION\_DEFAULT\_MODE switch does not have a value in a view, the value defaults to "dynamic\_or\_static".

Default: dynamic\_or\_static

## NON\_ADA\_LINKAGE



## COMPILATION SYSTEM OPTIONS AND LINKER OPTIONS

The arguments to pass to the target linker. This can be used to specify object files and archive libraries for non-Ada program units that will be included when an Ada main program is linked. Default: " "

### NONBLOCKING\_IO

When a task issues an I/O request, do not wait for the I/O to complete. When True, the task is blocked until the I/O completes, but other tasks in the program may run. When False, the entire program (all tasks) are blocked while any task is waiting for an I/O request to complete.

Default: False

### POSIX\_COMPLIANT

Use the POSIX I/O behavior. When False, uses traditional I/O behavior allowing users to use some of the UNIX signals that POSIX forbids.

Default: True

### RUNTIMES

Identifies the directory where archive libraries and objects are found that are used during the link phase. Default:  
\$APEX\_HOME/\$APEX\_ARCH/lib (native systems),  
\$APEX\_BASE/ada/compilers/<target\_family>.<version>/<compiler\_variant>/usr  
(cross systems)

### TARGET\_DIRECTORY

Target directory. Default: " "

### TARGET\_MACHINE

Host name of the target machine. Default: " "

### USER\_LINK\_BLOCK

Sets the start address in target memory for linking the first user program. Default: target dependent address

APPENDIX B  
POINTS OF CONTACT

Ada Validation Facility

Phil Brashear, AVF Manager  
EDS Conformance Testing Center  
4646 Needmore Road, Bin #46  
P.O. Box 24593  
Dayton, OH 45424-0593  
U.S.A.  
Phone : (937) 237-4510  
Internet : brashp@dysmailpo.deisoh.msd.eds.com

Ada Validation Organization

Mr. Clyde Roby  
Institute for Defense Analyses  
1801 N. Beauregard Street  
Alexandria VA 22311  
U.S.A.  
Phone : (703) 845-6666  
FAX : (703) 345-6848  
Internet : avo@sw-eng.falls-church.va.us

Ada Joint Program Office

Joan McGarity  
Center for Software  
Defense Information Systems Agency  
5600 Columbia Pike  
Falls Church VA 22041  
U.S.A.  
Phone : (703) 681-2453  
Internet: mcgaritj@ncr.disa.mil

POINTS OF CONTACT

For technical and sales information about this Ada implementation, contact:

David J. Lofgren  
Rational Software Corporation  
18880 Homestead Road  
Cupertino CA 95014  
(408) 863-5041

## APPENDIX C

### REFERENCES

- [Ada95] Reference Manual for the Ada Programming Language,  
ANSI/ISO/IEC 8652:1995
- [Pro97] Ada Compiler Validation Procedures, Version 5.0,  
Ada Validation Organization and Ada Joint  
Program Office, March 1997
- [UG97] The Ada Compiler Validation Capability Version 2.1  
User's Guide, Revision 1, SAIC and CTA, March 1997

REFERENCES

end of document

(REMOVE THIS PAGE)